#### **FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION** 999 E Street, N.W. SENSITIVE Washington, D.C. 20463 FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT (MUR 5646) 8 PRE-MUR 423 9 DATE ACTIVATED: August 5, 2004 10 11 **EXPIRATION OF STATUTE OF** 12 LIMITATIONS: November 15, 2007 13 14 **SOURCE:** INTERNALLY GENERATED 15 16 RESPONDENT: Jesse Burchfield John Buchalski (in his personal capacity) 17 18 Cohen for New Hampshire and John Buchalski, 19 in his official capacity as treasurer 20 **Burton Cohen** 21 22 2 U.S.C. § 432(c) **RELEVANT STATUTES** 23 AND REGULATIONS 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(2) 24 2 U.S.C. § 432(h) 25 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b) 26 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) 27 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) 28 29 **INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:** Disclosure Reports 30 31 **STATE AGENCIES CHECKED:** New Hampshire Secretary of State, 32 **Election Division** 33 34 FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: 35 36 37 INTRODUCTION I. This matter concerns 38 **39** involving Cohen for New Hampshire, the principal campaign committee of Burton Cohen, a former candidate for the 2004 Democratic nomination to the U.S. 40 Senate in New Hampshire; Cohen's campaign manager, Jesse Burchfield; and Burton Cohen. 41

	First General Counsel's Report
1	·
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	The publicly available information indicates that
8	Jesse Burchfield deliberately misreported the Committee's financial activity over the course of
9	the 2004 election cycle, primarily by significantly underreporting disbursements, and failed to
10	keep the requisite disbursement records. In addition, Burchfield may have used campaign funds
11	for personal use and likely made excessive cash disbursements. The available information also
12	indicates that the Committee and candidate Burton Cohen used funds from Cohen's state senate
13	campaign to pay for expenses related to his U.S. Senate campaign knowing that it was improper
14	to do so. Based on the information currently in hand, we recommend that the Commission find
15	reason to believe that Jesse Burchfield; Cohen for New Hampshire and John Buchalski, in his
16	official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"); John Buchalski, in his personal capacity; and
17	Burton Cohen violated the Federal Election Campaign Act, of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and
18	Commission regulations as discussed below.
19	
20	
	L

Pre-MUR 423

First General Counsel's Report

# II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

A. The Deliberate Missreporting of the Committee's Financial Activity & The Committee's Failure to Maintain Records of Disbursements

On June 10, 2004, Burton Cohen abruptly dropped out of the U.S. Senate race in New Hampshire reportedly due to "a campaign situation beyond his control." Beverley Wang, Cohen Not Saying Why He Quit, The Associated Press State & Local Wire, June 11, 2004 available at LEXIS, News & Business Library. News reports referred to ascents by campaign staff and others that a "significant" amount of campaign cash was missing and that the campaign manager had left town. Id. and Campaign Money Missing, The Union Leader (Manchester NH), June 12, 2004 at AI, available at LEXIS, News & Business Library. At a June 16, 2004 press conference, counsel hired by the Committee attributed Cohen's decision to drop out of the race in large part to "some concerns that have been raised in the past week about the accuracy and completeness of transactions disclosed" on reports the Committee had filed with the Commission. Lawyer:

21

22

1 Cohen, Campaign Victimized, The Union Leader (Manchester NH), June 16, 2004 at A1. 2 available at LEXIS, News & Business Library. 3 Interviews with former Committee staff provide a picture of events that led to Burton 4 Cohen's withdrawal from the race and campaign manager Jesse Burchfield's departure. According to Committee Finance Director Ellen Stankiewicz, who began working full-time with 5 the campaign in March 2004, she had concerns about the campaign and Jesse Burchfield's 6 7 performance and had numerous confrontations with him despite her relatively short timure. 8 Burtom Cohen has acknowledged that Burnhfield was having prublem: 9 managing some employees. Ms. Stankiewicz spoke with Cohen on June 3, 2004 about 10 replacing Burchfield and contacted national finance director Kelly Bjorkland to search for a new 11 An interview with a prospective applicant was scheduled campaign manager. 12 for June 7, 2004. The evening before the interview, Stankiewicz brought the applicant's resume 13 with her to a meeting with Cohen. Id. 14 Stankiewicz's description of Burchfield's behavior around the time of these discussions 15 suggests that Burchfield may have suspected his job was in jeopardy. On June 4, the day after 16 Stankiewicz's first discussion with Cohen about replacing Burchfield, Eurchfield disappeared 17 from the office for hours, and he looked "mamber" and "defeated" when he was in the office. 18 On the day of the acheduced interview with Burchfield's potential replacement. 19 Cohen and others at the campaign received an e-mail from Burobfield stating that the campaign

was broke and he was leaving to take a non-political job in Memphis. Tennessee.

found the door open and most of his belongings gone.

Following receipt of the e-mail, two campaign staffers went to Burchfield's apartment and

At Stankiewicz's urging, Cohen called the bank to check the balance of the Committee's accounts as of June 7, 2004. Cohen learned that the accounts contained about \$15,000. Until then, Stankiewicz had believed the campaign had about \$350,000. The last Committee disclosure report filed prior to Burchfield's departure showed cash on hand of about \$397,000 as of March 31, 2004.

The Committee's counsel matified the Commission in a June 23, 2004 letter responding

The Committee's counsel notified the Commission in a June 23, 2004 letter responding to a Request for Further Information from the Reports Analysis Division that the Committee was in the process of "a thorough review" of its finances and reporting and would file nauceauxy amendments thereafter. On July 15, 2004, counsel advised the Commission in a letter accompanying the 2004 July Quarterly Report that the review had uncovered a "significant discrepancy" in the Committee's previously reported cash on hand. The adjusted cash on hand figure of \$170,000 in the July Quarterly Report was \$227,289 less than the ending cash-on-hand figure previously reported in the 2004 April Quarterly Report. Counsel described the adjusted figure as an approximation and said that the Committee's reports would be amended at the conclusion of the financial review, a process he described as "a reconstruction of certain transactions."

More recessly, on December 28, 2004, the Committee filed amendments to its 2004 April and July Quarterly Reports with an accompanying December 22, 2004 cover letter from Committee counsel indicating that the financial review of the Committee's transactions is ongoing. The amendments show that the end cash on hand as originally reported in the 2004 April Quarterly indeed had been inflated but by \$51,851 rather than \$227,289. The amendments also reflect that disbursements had been underreported by about \$87,579 in the original 2004

1 April Quarterly Report and by \$84,652 in the original 2004 July Quarterly Report.<sup>2</sup>

2	Contributions had also been underreported by \$40,460 in the original April Quarterly Report.
3	The recently filed amendments confirm that the Committee filed inaccurate disclosure
4	reports that significantly underreported its disbursements and underreported its contributions in
5	calendar year 2004. Since counsel's December 22, 2004 cover letter indicates that additional
6	amendments will be filed as necessitated by the Committee's engeing financial review and no
7	armaniments have been files for rupous covering reporting periods in 2003, the extent of
8	misrepenting may be greater.
9	Jesse Burchfield acknowledged that the
10	Committee's finances were misreported since its first disclosure report, the 2003 April Quarterly
11	Report, because certain expenses improperly paid for with leftover funds from Cohen's state
12	campaign could not be reported. Burchfield admitted that he alone prepared the
13	Committee's reports, and apart from the initial misreporting in the 2003 April Quarterly Report,
14	apparently attributable to the use of state campaign funds for which he implies that Burt Cohen is
15	responsible, Burchfield accepted responsibility for purposely understating the Committee's
16	expanditures in the 2003 July Quarterly Report and the reports filed thereafter.
17	
	The criginal 2004 July Quarterly Report was filed after Burchfield list the Committee list covers a time period during which Burchfield had primarily managed the campaign and its finances. The Committee also filed an amendment to the 2004 October Quarterly Report on December 28, 2004 that indicates disbursements during that reporting period had been initially underreported by \$84,294.

1

Burchfield underreported expenditures in order to make it appear that the Committee had more 2 cash on hand than it did. Id. He identified the greatest understatement of expenses as occurring in the 2003 Year End Report, which resulted in the Committee's cash-on-hand being overstated 3 by close to \$300,000. 4 Burton Cohen and former Committee staffers 5 confirmed that Burchfield was responsible for filing reports with the Commission. 6 Although John Bughsiski is listed as the Cocamitate's treasurer in its Statement of 7 8 Organization, Colum stated that Buchalaki, 2 war veteran, was treasurer "in title only." 9 Cohen asked Buchalski to serve as treasurer to have a veteran involved in the campaign and said his only function was to sign the Committee's disclosure reports. Id. Buchalski 10 11 confirmed this limited role in news accounts, stating he had no professional financial 12 background, handled no campaign money, and sometimes signed reports that were only partially 13 complete. Lawyer: Cohen, Campaign Victimized, supra. He admitted that he signed the 14 Committee's reports but opined in the press that his signature had been forged on a letter and two reports filed with the Commission, the 2004 April Quarterly and the 2003 October Quarterly. 15 16 See Cohen Alde Believes Signature Forged, The Union Leader (Manchester NH), June 18, 2004 17 at A12, available at LEXIS, News & Business Library. Indeed, Jesse Burchfield admitted that

Burchfield identified the "fourth quarter 2003" report (the 2003 Year End Report) as the one containing the largest understatement of expenses, resulting in an overstated cash-on-hand of about \$300,000 when the true cash on hand was about \$100,000. However, the original and amended 2003 Year End Reports reflected cash-on-hand figures of \$247,107 and \$242,375, respectively. If the actual cash balance was about \$100,000 at year-end as Burchfield said, the overstatement in the 2003 Year End Reports would have been between \$142,000-147,000 not close to \$300,000. In light of the fact that the 2003 Year End Report has not been amended since the Committee began its financial review, Burshfield's mamory may yet praye to be accurate.

Buchalski also grated that he had been told by an unidentified person to expect to sign blank forms that campaign aides would complete. Report: Cohen's Manager Hired Lawyer, The Union Leader (Manchester, NH), June 23, 2004 at A8, available in LEXIS, News & Business Library.

1 he signed the Committee's original and amended 2004 April Quarterly Reports using 2 Buchalski's name. 3 In addition to preparing the Committee's disclosure reports and signing Buchalski's name to the 2004 April Quarterly Reports, Burchfield performed other finance-related duties. 4 According to Committee Field Director Paul Dunn, no one could spend funds without 5 Burchfield controlled the checkbook, the bank statements, the 6 Burchfield's approval. 7 use of the Committee's ATM and debit conti and he alone prosessed the Personal Identification 8 Number ("PIN") necessary to use the card's ATM function. He also 9 deposited contributions and prepared checks to pay the campaign's expenses for Cohen's 10 signature because Cohen was the sole signatory on the Committee's bank accounts. 11 Cohen's status as sole account signatory should have been a check on Burchfield's control of 12 the Committee's expenditures, but Burchfield admitted that he routinely signed Cohen's name on 13 checks without his authorization. Cohen was aware of at least one check where someone 14 else apparently signed his name and he acknowledged that he signed several blank checks at 15 Burchfield's insistence prior to leaving on a trip to Washington D.C. in May 2004. 16 In addition to Burchfield's admission that he underreported expenditures and Committee 17 counsel's acknowledgement of missoperting, information from other fermer 18 Committee staffers provides additional confirmation of mareporting. According to Eilen 19 Stankiewicz, copies of Committee bank account statements obtained after Burchfield left the 20 campaign listed checks that were not reported in the Committee's disclosure reports. 21 Paul Dunn said that he and others reviewed the Committee's 2004 April Quarterly 22 Report and its bank statements after receiving Burchfield's departing e-mail and noted a 23 \$300,000 discrepancy between the nearly \$400,000 ending cash on hand in the April Quarterly

Report and the bank account balances of about \$100,000. Burt Cohen 1 expressed his belief that Burchfield was exaggerating the numbers reported to the Commission 2 3 and that Burchfield provided false information to the Commission in the 2004 April Quarterly Report. 4 None of the former campaign staff had been aware that the 5 campaign was having financial difficulties until they received Burchfield's resignation e-mail. 6 7 Burchfield confirmed that he told no one of the 8 differences between the Committee's finances as reported and its true financial status and said 9 that none of the campaign staff was aware of the misreporting. Indeed, Burchfield may 10 have tried to conceal the misreporting from others in the campaign. According to Burton Cohen, 11 at one point, the Committee's Assistant Finance Director, Sharon Valdez, requested the bank statements to prepare a reconciliation, but Burchfield refused to provide them. 12 Finally, in addition to misreporting the Committee's finances, Burchfield also 13 acknowledged that the Committee did not keep records of its disbursements. 14 15 Committee's original 2004 July Quarterly Report, filed after Burchfield's departure, reflects the lack of recordkeeping. It included about \$122,000 in disbursements that contained no address or 16 purpose or inadequate purposes such as "information requestant" and "expanses." The infusing 17 information and the fact that the Conscultee apparently had to request information from payers 18 demonstrates the Committee's failure to maintain records. As noted earlier, the July Quarterly 19 20 Report has been amended, but not all of the missing information has been provided. 21

These facts also serve as an additional basis for pursuing violations of 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## Liability for Misreporting and Recordkeeping Violations

The Act requires every political committee to have a treasurer. 2 U.S.C. § 432(a). No expenditure shall be made for or on behalf of a political committee without the authorization of the treasurer or his or her designated agent. Id. A principal campaign committee of a candidate shall file a Statement of Organization that includes the name and address of the treasurer of the committee and the name, address, and position of the custodian of the committee's books and accounts. 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(1). The Ast also imposes reporting obligations on committee treasurers. Each treasurer of a political committee shall file reports of receipts and disbursements in accordance with the provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 434. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a). These reports shall include, inter alia, the amount of cash on hand at the beginning and end of a reporting period, the total amount of receipts and disbursements, the identification of each person who makes a contribution in excess of \$200 in an election cycle, and the name and address of each person to whom an expenditure exceeding \$200 is made together with the date, amount and purpose of the expenditure. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). Committee treasurers and any other person required to file any report or statement under the Commission's regulations and under the Act, shall be personally responsible for the timely and complete filing of the report or statement and for the accuracy of any information or statement contained in it. 11 C.F.R. § 104.14(d). Committee treasurers also have recordkeeping obligations. Among them, a treasurer must keep an account of the name and address of every person to whom a disbursement is made, together with the date, amount and purpose of the disbursement. 2 U.S.C. § 432(c)(5). In addition, for each disbursement in excess of \$200 by or on behalf of the Committee, the treasurer

shall obtain and keep a receipt, invoice or cancelled check. *Id.* and 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(b)(2).

treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b) and 432(c).

The current information indicates that the Committee failed to accurately and completely report its disbursements and cash on hand beginning with the 2003 April Quarterly Report through the 2004 July Quarterly Report. Moreover, the Committee failed to maintain the required records of its disbursements. Therefore, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that Cohen for New Hampshire and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as

In addition, we believe that the current information provides a basis to find both John Buchalski and Jame Burahfield personally liable for the Committee's misseporting. Although the candidate has acknowledged that Buchalski was treasurer in name only, he is listed as the treasurer in the Committee's Statement of Organization and signed most of the Committee's disclosure reports with the exception of the 2004 original and amended April Quarterly Reports and possibly the 2003 October Quarterly Report. As the designated treasurer, Buchalski was responsible for ensuring the accuracy and completeness of the information contained in the Committee's reports and for ensuring that disbursement records were maintained. As discussed above, the Committee's reports were inaccurate and incomplete. Buchalski's reckless failure to perform his dutien is evidenced by his acknowledgment that he sometimes signed reports that were incomplete.

Josse Burchfield, though not designated as the Committee's treasurer, acted as the Committee's de facto treasurer in every other capacity. He prepared the Committee reports, approved disbursements, and made deposits. In addition, he signed the 2004 April Quarterly Reports using John Buchalski's name. In preparing the Committee's reports and controlling its disbursements, Mr. Burchfield was also responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the reports and for maintaining the proper disbursement records. A person acting as treasurer but not

officially designated as treasurer may be held liable for reporting violations. See e.g., FEC v.

- 2 Committee to Elect Bennie O. Batts, No. 87-5789 (S.D.N.Y. February 24, 1989) and
- 3 accompanying pleadings filed by the FEC (setting forth in detail the respective roles of the
- 4 named treasurer and others performing financial duties).

5 Information also indicates that Burchfield's misreporting of the

- 6 Committee's finances was knowing and willful. To be liable for a knowing and willful violation,
- 7 respondents must and with the knowledge that they are violating the inw. FEC v. John A.
- 8 Dramesi for Cong. Comm., 640 F. Supp. 985, 987 (D.M.J. 1986). An informed of a knowing and
- 9 willful act may be drawn "from the defendant's elaborate scheme for disguising" his or her
- 10 actions. The evidence need not show that a defendant "had specific knowledge of the
- regulations" or "conclusively demonstrate" a defendant's "state of mind," if there were "facts
- 12 and circumstances from which the jury reasonably could infer that [the defendant] knew her
- conduct was unauthorized and illegal." United States v. Hopkins, 916 F.2d 207, 213 (5th Cir.
- 14 1990) (quoting United States v. Bordelon, 871 F.2d 491, 494 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 439 U.S.
- 15 838 (1989)).

An inference that Burchfield knew that his misreporting was unauthorized and illegal

17 may be drawn from Burchfield's failure to provide copies of the Committee's bank statements to

18 Assistant Finance Director Sharon Valdez and from his abrupt disappearance the day an

interview was scheduled for his potential replacement. These facts suggest that he tried to hide

20 conduct he knew to be wrongful and fled when he believed discovery was imminent. We believe

19

these facts are sufficient to trigger an investigation aimed at obtaining independent evidence
 concerning the nature of Burchfield's conduct.

3 Accordingly, based on John Buchalski's reckless failure to ensure the accuracy of the 4 information contained in the Committee's disclosure reports and to ensure that 5 the appropriate disbursement records were kept, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that John Buchalski, in his personal capacity, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b) and 432(c). 6 7 Based on Jesse Burghfield's rule as the Committee's die fuero treasurer in failing to keep 8 disbursement records and in preparing the Committee's inascurate separts, and in light of the 9 facts suggesting his misreporting was deliberate, we recommend that the Commission find 10 reason to believe that Jesse Burchfield violated 2 U.S.C. § 432(c) and knowingly and willfully 11 violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

## B. Personal Use of Campaign Funds/Excessive Cash Disbursements

The Act prohibits any person from converting contributions to a Federal candidate for personal use. 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b)(1). "Personal use" means any use of funds in a campaign account of a federal candidate to fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense of any person that waulti exist irrespective of the candidate's campaign duties. II C.F.R. § 113.1(g). The term "person" includes individuals and committees. 2 U.S.C. § 431(11).

Jesse Burnhfield, who did not have a personal bank account due to an outstanding debt, admitted that he used campaign funds to pay for personal expenses, chiefly

20

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

withdrawals.

1 through use of the Committee's ATM/debit card. He specifically admitted that he used the Committee's bankcard to withdraw about \$300 in cash on a vacation in New Orleans. 2 3 and may have withdrawn about \$600 in campaign cash for his use on a trip to San Francisco with In addition, Burchfield admitted that he used the 4 family members in December 2003. 5 debit function of the bankcard to pay for a personal website subscription in an unspecified Campaign funds were also used to Benefit others such as the purchase of a bed 6 7 for campaign staffer Jerseny Fielder in August 2003. Burten Cohen corroborated Burchfield's use of campaign funds for his own personal 8 9 expenses. Cohen said he was aware that Burchfield used the Committee's bankcard to purchase 10 what he described as "Internet porn." He also believed that Burchfield used the 11 bankcard for other personal expenses, including a rental car during a trip to California in March or April 2004. Id. 12 In addition to these specific instances of personal use, Burchfield also made cash 13 14 withdrawals, some of which may have been used to pay other personal expenses. According to Ellen Stankiewicz, the Committee's bank statements reflected many cash withdrawals of \$1,000 15 Collen recalled that Stankiewicz advised him that there were 16 each. approximately \$9,000 in ATM withdrawals. ATM withdrawals totaling \$500 are also 17 18 degreented in the Committee's 2004 July Quarterly Report, which was filed after Burchfluld's departure but covers the time period when he managed the campaign. As noted cardiar, 19 20 Burchfield was the only campaign staffer who had the bankcard PIN that permitted ATM

1 Burchfield offered two explanations for the ATM cash withdrawals: he used cash to pay 2 for some personal expenses as previously described, and he used cash to purchase stamps for the campaign and pay the salaries of college students working for the campaign. 3 4 Burchfield characterized his use of ATM withdrawals to pay personal expenses as a way to make 5 up the difference between his full monthly salary and the salary he actually paid himself. 6 According to Burchfield, his salary was \$5,000 per month plus a \$1,000 hoursing stipend, an amount paid directly to Burchfield's housing provider. 10 His estimated that in the first five 7 8 menths of 2004 he exceived between \$25,000-\$26,000 in solary payments rather than the 9 \$30,000 presumably awed to him, and made approximately \$600 in ATM withdrawals. Id. He 10 did not tell Cohen that he was taking less than his full salary. 11 Other information conflicts with Burchfield's description of his salary. Burton Cohen 12 stated that Burchfield's most recent salary for work on the federal campaign was \$5,000 a month 13 plus a \$1,000 housing stipend that covered his rental apartment. If Cohen's statement is 14 accurate, then Burchfield did receive his full salary based on his own estimation that he was paid 15 between \$25,000-\$26,000 in 2004. Any campaign funds spent by Burchfield for personal

On the other hand, Stankiewicz and Morchfield characterizated the campaign's payment of rent for certain campaign staffers as a stipped, a characterization that connotes it was part of a compensation package.

Nidentwer, neither Brackfield not Strainwood appear to been residents of New Hampshire point to their work on Cohen's campaign as, arguably, so that would have required thing arrangements in New Hampshire in the absence of Cohen's campaign. We make no encommendation on this issue at this time pending receipt of additional information during discovery.

The Committee's disclauste reports reflect about \$13,700 in payment to third parties for cent for both Burchfield and the Committee finance director. Burchfield says the Committee also gave a housing stipend to other campaign staffers.

The Committee's payment of its staff's housing costs could be viewed as personal use of campaign staffers.

The Committee's payment of its staff's housing costs irrespective of Cohen's campaign. See Advisory Opinion ("AO") 1985-42 (campaign's payment of partial rent of candidate's apartment used by staff on trips to Washington for campaign business is not personal use but may he if the apartment is provided for staff visits to D.C. for reasons other than campaign activities). AO 1985-42 was superseded by AO 1995-8 to the extent it permitted payment for a candidate-owned apartment in light of amendments to the personal use regulations in 1995. See also Explanation and Justification for Final Rules on Personal Use of Campaign Funds, 60 Fed. Reg. 7864 (final rules apply to personal use of campaign funds "regartiless or whether the beneficiary is the candidate, a family member of a candidate or some other person.")

expenses under these facts constituted personal use. The Committee's disclosure reports reflect
still another salary figure. They show \$21,000 in salary payments to Burchfield between January
and June 4, 2004, an average of \$4,200 per month, tending to corroborate Burchfield's statement
that he didn't receive his full salary, whether it was \$5,000 per month as Cohen stated or \$6,000
per month as Burchfield maintained. The disclosure reports, however, are admittedly inaccurate.

In light of Jesse Burchfield's overall conduct including his use of the campaign bankcard to pay for an Internet subscription and variation expenses, the discrepancies concerning the level of Burchfield's salary and the extent to which he received his full salary, the multiple cach withdrawals reflected in the Committee's disclosure reports and bank statements, and the use of campaign funds to pay for a staff member's bed, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that the Committee and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Jesse Burchfield violated 2 U.S.C. § 439a(b).

In addition, Burchfield's use of cash withdrawals to pay for student salaries and postage supports an inference that the Committee also violated 2 U.S.C. § 432(h) by making excessive cash disbursements. That provision requires political committees to make disbursements by check except for disbursements of \$180 or less from a petry cash fund. 2 U.S.C. § 432(h).

Burchfield dist not quantify the amounts he withdraw to buy strangs had pay student salarias, but the Committee's 2004 April Quarterly Report reflects multiple disbursements to the U.S. Post Office in amounts exceeding \$100.11 Therefore, we secommend that the Commission find

Those disbursements, all to the U.S. Post Office for unreported purposes are: \$555 on January 3, 2004; \$111 on January 16, 2004; \$111 on February 4, 2004; \$222 on February 18, 2004; and \$370 on March 9, 2004.

reason to believe that the Committee and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as treasurer,

2 violated 2 U.S.C. § 432(h).

## C. Use of Non-Federal Funds to Pay for Federal Campaign Activity

The Act, as amended by the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, prohibits a federal candidate, a candidate's agent, and entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by, or acting on behalf of, a candidate from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds in connection with a Foderal election unless that funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions and reporting requirements of the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A). Moreover, Commission regulations specifically prohibit transfers of funds or assets from a candidate's account for a non-federal election to his or her principal campaign committee for a federal election. 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d). Both provisions are designed to prevent the use in federal elections of funds raised outside the limits and prohibitions of the Act and to ensure that all funds used in federal elections are reported.

New Hampshire state law permits individuals and political committees to make contributions of up to \$1,000 to a candidate who has not agreed to voluntarily limit campaign expenditures. N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 664:4, V. Corporations are also permitted to contribute within that limit based on a 1999 U.S. District Court ducision ruling that New Hampshire's prohibition on corporate contributions was unconstitutional. \*\*Sate Kennady v. Gardner\*, 1999 WL 814273 (D.N.H. Sep 30, 1999) (No. CV 98-608-M) and Opinion Letter dated June 6, 2000 from Deputy Attorney General to William M. Gardner, Secretary of State, at

21 http://www.sos.nh.gov/political%20page.htm. The New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office

2

has advised us that Cohen did not agree to limit campaign expenditures in his 2002 state senate election.

3 According to Jesse Burchfield, leftover funds from Burton Cohen's state senate campaign 4 were used to pay for expenses related to Cohen's federal campaign and Cohen signed all of the 5 checks written on the state account(s). Burchfield specifically identified two 6 instances in which state funds financed federal activity: payment(s) to Curmingham. Harris & 7 Associates, a consulting firm, and the purchase of office furniture must by the Commistee. 8 Indeed, Buschfield attributed the Committee's initial misreporting to the fact that state campaign 9 funds were improperly used to pay federal campaign expenses and thus, could not be reported. 10 11 Ellen Stankiewicz confirmed that state campaign funds were used to pay for federal 12 election expenses, although she identified the state-financed expenses as consisting chiefly of 13 According to Stankiewicz, as part of her research into salary payments. 14 Burchfield's activities after he resigned, she learned that state campaign funds were used to pay 15 Burchfield's and Sharon Valdez's salaries for duties related to the federal campaign and 16 Burchfield's housing stipend. Id. Stankiewicz also said Valdez confirmed to her that state funds 17 were used in this manner. Id. 18 State campaign disclosure reports, signed by Caban, land support to Stankiewicz's statement that state funds were used to pay Burchfield and Valdez's federal campaign salaries 19 and housing costs. 13 The state campaign reports reflect a \$35,000 surplus following Cohen's 20 21 November 5, 2002 reelection to the state senate. See Attachment 3. From this surplus, the

New Hampshire state law requires candidates for state office who make expenditures exceeding \$500 to file and sign statements of receipts and expenditures together with the candidate's designated fiscal agent. N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 664:7 and 664.12. Candidates are not required to set up a separate political commitme, and, according to the New Hampshire Secretary of State's Office, Burt Cohen did not do so in 2002.

I campaign reported \$12,750 in "staff" payments to Burchfield and Valdez and an \$1,800

- 2 payment to a third party for "rental space" between November 15, 2002 and January 31, 2003. 14
- 3 Attachment 3 at 5. Although some of the earlier payments to Burchfield could have been for his
- 4 work as Cohen's state campaign manager during the campaign and as the campaign wound up its
- 5 activities, Cohen had publicly announced that he would explore a bid for the U.S. Senate as early
- 6 as December 4, 2002. See Campaigns of 2004 New Hampshire Senare: Never Take the
- 7 Understop for Granite, The Hotline, December 5, 2002, available at Wustlaw, 12/5/2002 APN-
- 8 HO 22. Viewing the timing of the payments together with Strukiewicz's statement and Vaidez's
- 9 confirmation, it is likely that, at a minimum, staff and rent payments of \$8,050 made in January
- 2003 were in connection with Cohen's federal election. 15 10

11 As for Burchfield's statements that state funds paid for consulting services and furniture

- 12 for the federal campaign, the state campaign's reports do not reflect any payments to
- 13 Cunningham, Harris & Associates or any payments described as for furniture. However,
- 14 Cunningham, Harris & Associates did serve as a fundraising consultant to the federal campaign
- 15 as illustrated by a number of reported disbursements to them appearing in the Committee's 2003
- 16 April Quarterly Report. If surplus state campaign funds were used to pay Cunningham, Harris &
- 17 Americans and to purchase furniture, then two secnaries are massiniar either the state cumminen
- reports do not accurately reflect to whom disbursements were made, or the state campaign 18
- 19 received unreported contributions that were then used to pay federal campaign expenses.

20

21

The payment for rental space was made to John Hoyt, the same person to whom the Committee later made disbursements for "rent - finance director." Also, the relevant state report appears to mistakenly list the wrong year for the November payments. It reflects payments being made on dates in November 2003, but the report itself was filed on May 5, 2003.

<sup>15</sup> Burton Cohen filed a Statement of Candidacy for the 2004 U.S. Senate race on January 16, 2003.

New Hampshire's individual contribution limits are below the Act's limits and Cohen's state reports reveal no corporate contributions so it is possible that the state funds used in the federal campaign may have consisted of permissible funds under the Act. Nevertheless, none of the funds were subject to the Act's reporting provisions as required by Section 441i(e)(1)(A), and in any case, 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) flatly prohibits a candidate's state campaign from transferring funds to the candidate's federal campaign. Moreover, given Burchfield's statement that state funds were used to pay for expanses that are not nuffected in the state campaign rejects, we cannot be suce the reports accurately list all contributions received and expenditums made by the state campaign.

Based on current information, it appears that state funds were used to pay for federal election expenses with checks drawn on a state campaign account(s). A candidate who receives a contribution or makes a disbursement in connection with his election to federal office is considered to have received the contribution or made the disbursement as an agent of his authorized committee. 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(2). According to Burchfield, Burton Cohen signed all checks drawn on the state account(s). Thus, both Cohen (the candidate) and the Committee (an entity established by the candidate), through Cohen, spent funds for Cohen's federal election that were not subject to the tinnitations, prohibitions and reporting sequiroments of tire Ast. Both also effectively received non-federal funds from Cohen's state campaige. See conciliation agreement in MUR 4974 (Tiberi for Congress)(candidate's federal and state committees violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) when his state committee made a contribution to, and incurred expenses on behalf of, his federal committee).

The use of state funds in Cohen's federal campaign also appears to have been knowing and willful. Burchfield told Cohen that state funds could not be used in a federal campaign after

receiving advice on that issue from a Committee consultant. Cohen apparently and wrote checks on the state account for federal campaign expenses anyway. Accordingly, this Office recommends that the Commission find reason to believe that the Committee and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as treasurer, and Burton Cohen knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R.§ 110.3(d). 

2ł 

# IV. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 1. Open a MUR.
- 2. Find reason to believe that Cohen for New Hampshire and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b), 432(c), 439a(b), and 432(h).
- 3. Find reason to believe that John Buchalski, in his personal capacity, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434(b) and 432(c).
- 4. Find reason to believe that Jesse Burchfield violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 432(c) and 439a(b).
- 5. Find reason to believe that Jesse Burchfield knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b).

1 2	6.	Find reason to believe that Burton Cohen and Cohen for New Hampshire and John Buchalski, in his official capacity as treasurer, knowingly and willfully
3		violated 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d).
<b>4</b> 5	7.	Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analyses.
6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 8	8.	
9		
10		
11 12	0	Agreement the agreement to letters
13	7.	Approve the appropriate letters.
14		Lawrence H. Norton
15 16		General Counsel
17	1.	
18	Date Date	105 Mind flor oly
19 20	Date	BY: Rhonda J. Vosdingh Associate General Counsel
21		for Enforcement
22		$\rho$
23 24		of the horre
25		Sid Rocke
26 27		Assistant General Counsel
28		Dean M. Ochossa.
29		
30 31		Dawn M. Odrowski Attorney
32		
33		FYM W.
34 35		Ana Pena Wallace
<b>36</b>		Attorney
37 38	1	
<i>3</i> 9		
40		
41 42		
T di	1	